

Clarke County CPMT Agenda
July 26, 2022
2:00 PM
Clarke County Government Center

OPEN SESSION

1. Approve minutes from June 28, 2022
2. Parent Representatives – CPMT, FAPT
3. Family Insight Letter
4. Budget Allocation FY23: Decreased by \$95,668
5. Schedule a time to meet with Haven Mental Health
6. Financial – June payment report

CLOSED SESSION

Consent Agenda: 3 cases

ICC Discussion

Next Meeting: August 23, 2022

CLARKE COUNTY CPMT MEETING MINUTES

June 28, 2022

Attendees

Katherine Webster	CSA Coordinator
Frank Moore (virtual)	Clarke County Public Schools and CPMT Vice Chair
Jerry Stollings	CSU Representative
Denise Acker	CSB Representative
Terri Catlett	BOS Representative
Tavan Mair	Private Provider Representative
Leea Shirley	VDH Representative
Jennifer Parker	DSS Representative and CPMT Chair

Jen called the meeting to order at 2:02 p.m. The meeting was conducted in person at the Clarke County Government Center, with Frank Moore joining virtually via Google Meet.

Old Business:

Terri made a motion to approve the minutes from March; Tavan seconded the motion.

New Business:

1. Katherine discussed 2 possibilities for the parent representative to CPMT and gave the pros and cons of both options. The team suggested that she reach out to both candidates and evaluate the options after that. Katherine will follow up at the next CPMT meeting.
2. CPMT discussed the make-up of the FAPT and reviewed the terms for each member.
3. The team reviewed concerns about a provider that were raised to Katherine after a recent FAPT meeting. Katherine will draft a letter for CPMT to send to the provider addressing the concerns and to attempt to seek a solution.
4. Katherine provided the team with an update to the changes in Private Day School reimbursement. OCS hosted a training on the changes and Katherine reviewed the information with the team. Clarke CSA should not be affected significantly, but the team discussed the wider ramifications for these changes.
5. Katherine discussed some issues that have happened recently with Magellan and one specific FAPT case. Katherine provided copies of email exchanges with Magellan and OCS, and at the time of this meeting, the issues were mostly resolved.
6. Katherine discussed the delay in FY23 budget allotments related to the prolonged state budget process. Allotment totals should be available for the July meeting.

Financial Update:

Katherine provided the team with payment reports from May, there were no questions about the report.

Closed Session:

See attachment A for completed form detailing the motion to enter closed session, vote on the items discussed, and certify the discussion in closed session.

Consent Agenda:

The consent agenda with 12 cases was reviewed. Denise made a motion to leave closed session and Jerry seconded.

**Next meeting:
July 26, 2022 at 2:00 p.m.**

MOTION TO CONDUCT A CLOSED MEETING

I move that the Clarke County Community Policy and Management Team conduct a closed meeting in accordance with §2.2-3711 A of the Code of Virginia for the purposes of:

2.2-3711.A (4) -- The protection of the privacy of individuals in personal matters not related to public business.

The subject matter of the closed meeting will be:

1. Case Review (active and recent).

RECORD OF VOTE AS TO THE AFORESAID MOTION

	MOTION BY	SECOND	ABSENT/ ABSTAIN	AYE	NAY
<i>Denise Acker</i>	✓				
<i>Jerry Stollings</i>		✓			
<i>Jennifer Parker</i>				✓	
<i>Terri Catlett</i>				✓	
<i>Leea Shirley</i>				✓	
<i>Frank Moore</i>				✓	
<i>Tavan Mair</i>				✓	

CERTIFICATE

Do each of you certify that to the best of your knowledge only public business matters lawfully exempted from the open meeting requirements of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, and only such public business matters as were identified in the motion by which the closed meeting was convened, were heard, discussed or considered by the Community Policy and Management Team in the closed meeting?

	AYE	NAY	ABSENT	REASON FOR NAY VOTE
<i>Denise Acker</i>	✓			
<i>Jerry Stollings</i>	✓			
<i>Jennifer Parker</i>	✓			
<i>Terri Catlett</i>	✓			
<i>Leea Shirley</i>	✓			
<i>Frank Moore</i>	✓			
<i>Tavan Mair</i>	✓			

The aforesaid Motion and Certificate were adopted in open meeting at a public meeting held on Jun 28, 2022 of the Clarke County Community Policy and Management Team by roll-call vote as shown above. The Certificate was adopted immediately after the closed meeting at a reconvened open meeting.

Jennifer Parker
Chair

6/28/2022
Date



FAMILY INSIGHT, P.C.
Enhancing the well-being of individuals and families.™

July 22, 2022

Family Insight, P.C.
Executive Office
P.O. Box 1030
Marshall, VA 20116-1030

**Memo to: All Virginia Governmental Agencies-CSA, cc Scott Reiner, E.D., OCS
In RE: Cancellation or non-renewal of contracted CSA services with Family Insight, P.C.**

To whom it may concern:

Family Insight, P.C., a quality provider of mental health, behavioral health, and autism treatment services, has been evaluating the value of performing CSA services under the new regulatory paradigm we have been operating under since December of last year.

The agreements we have received from the various governmental entities regarding their CSA contracts now include requirements and certifications on burdens such as vastly increased professional liability insurance to requiring marketing compliance statements. All the agreements have varying individual stipulations as to how we may bill and receive compensation, with most requiring work-intensive manual "paper" billing. None of the agreements have increased the reimbursement rates to a level to financially cover these increased requirements.

These additional requirements, coupled with the manual nature of managing, complying, and tracking the differences and nuances of multiple CSA agreements across the commonwealth (as well as compensating the necessary quality employees) have essentially made performing CSA services financially untenable.

Therefore, effective September 1, 2022, Family Insight will be ending all CSA services agreements and will not be accepting any new CSA clients. This should serve as timely notice under the 30-day contractual notice requirement.

Family Insight values the clients in its care and desires to make the transition for them as smooth as possible. We will continue to serve and treat those clients who are under our care as of July 25th, as a continuance of the 2021 agreements and rate structure already in place (PO extension and continued payment). We will continue until a service transition can be arranged, the PO expires, payment stops, or we mutually agree services are no longer necessary. It also would not be prudent to accept new clients during the 30-day notice period.

Please respond to confirm that this is timely notification. If no arrangement or communication with us occurs, we will assume the case is to be closed and will give clients two week notice to our clients as of 5 p.m. Monday, August 8th.

Family Insight has very much appreciated the relationships we have built with many of you over the years and regrets the current operating environment is no longer conducive to serving CSA clients. Please reach out to our CSA Administrator, Sherri Wise at Sherri.Wise@FamilyInsight.net or me should you have any questions or concerns. We welcome your individual case workers contacting our corresponding treatment staff to arrange appropriate transitions and/or cancellations of further services.

Sincerely,

R. Paul Lawrence, MBA
Chief Operating Officer, Family Insight, P.C. (Paul.Lawrence@FamilyInsight.net) (540) 729-0001)

from: **CSA.Office,**
rr <csa.office@csa.virginia.gov>
sent scott.reiner@csa.virginia.gov
to: "Webster, Katherine"
<katherine.webster@dss.virginia.gov>
date: Jul 18, 2022, 3:27 PM
subject: Re: Clarke Budget Allocations FY23

You're welcome. The county's annual expenditures seem to really "yo yo" over the past four years. You would be best served by projecting based on your actual expenditures for this year. It does not appear that you have requested/needed any supplemental allocations in any of the past three years, so it appears your base allocation has covered your needs. But again, there is always the supplement process if you need additional funding.

On Mon, Jul 18, 2022 at 3:19 PM Webster, Katherine
<katherine.webster@dss.virginia.gov> wrote:

Thank you, that does address my question. Our caseload has doubled since FY21 and we're projected to spend 90% of FY22s base pool allotment (\$277,186), but I didn't take into account the longevity of the projections you all are looking at. It will be a bit more challenging to plan when I'll need to rely on supplemental funds but I am glad to know that is available.

As always, thanks for your quick and thorough responses!
Katherine

On Mon, Jul 18, 2022 at 3:10 PM CSA.Office, rr <csa.office@csa.virginia.gov> wrote:
Katherine,

The reason your base pool allocation is reduced is that it gets calculated on a three-year running average of annual expenditures. You shouldn't worry in that the base pool allocation is always "backed up" by the availability of supplemental funds should the locality require them.

Just for your information, here are your expenditures over the past four years:

FY21	\$105,331
FY20	\$217,315
FY19	\$139,199
FY18	\$297,307

So as you can see, when FY18 dropped off in favor of FY21, the latest 3-year average decreased significantly.

Children's Services Act / Office of Children's Services
 FY2023 - Base Pool Allocation

ID	Locality Name	Local Pool Fund Base Match Rate	FY23 Total Base Allocations	FY23 State Base Allocation	FY23 Local Base Allocation
1	Accomack	23.32%	399,000	305,935	93,065
3	Albemarle	44.74%	9,229,160	5,100,180	4,128,980
5	Alleghany/Clifton Forge	19.24%	1,190,741	961,627	229,114
7	Amelia	32.68%	485,087	326,559	158,528
9	Amherst	27.22%	1,710,181	1,244,733	465,448
11	Appomattox	26.39%	1,728,286	1,272,209	456,077
13	Arlington	46.02%	6,567,414	3,545,395	3,022,019
15	Augusta	33.02%	4,043,653	2,708,398	1,335,255
17	Bath	42.78%	193,881	110,942	82,939
19	Bedford County	31.11%	5,418,429	3,732,756	1,685,673
21	Bland	21.09%	225,604	178,023	47,581
23	Botetourt	36.02%	1,249,338	799,295	450,043
25	Brunswick	24.39%	574,866	434,655	140,211
27	Buchanan	31.56%	1,084,935	742,554	342,381
29	Buckingham	20.23%	1,198,061	955,692	242,369
31	Campbell	31.07%	3,718,613	2,563,168	1,155,445
33	Caroline	33.08%	1,263,890	845,795	418,095
35	Carroll	29.10%	3,201,294	2,269,796	931,498
36	Charles City	31.31%	522,344	358,819	163,525
37	Charlotte	22.04%	756,655	589,888	166,767
41	Chesterfield	38.53%	13,318,239	8,186,553	5,131,686
43	Clarke	47.97%	181,518	94,442	87,076
45	Craig	29.01%	485,241	344,457	140,784
47	Culpeper	37.67%	2,764,086	1,722,851	1,041,235
49	Cumberland	30.40%	747,777	520,428	227,349
51	Dickenson	30.42%	924,652	643,419	281,233
53	Dinwiddie	33.58%	1,975,300	1,312,023	663,277
57	Essex	38.53%	998,207	613,591	384,616
61	Fauquier	45.84%	4,511,451	2,443,571	2,067,880
63	Floyd	23.24%	860,870	660,796	200,074
65	Fluvanna	38.11%	2,201,152	1,362,267	838,885
67	Franklin County	28.30%	5,495,911	3,940,650	1,555,261
69	Frederick	43.48%	3,509,091	1,983,184	1,525,907
71	Giles	28.98%	1,499,119	1,064,690	434,429
73	Gloucester	36.87%	889,576	561,590	327,986
75	Goochland	48.71%	1,044,516	535,771	508,745
77	Grayson	21.09%	675,181	532,806	142,375
79	Greene	34.71%	1,148,836	750,081	398,755
83	Halifax	23.35%	2,902,671	2,224,805	677,866

EXPENDITURE REPORT

Reporting Period: June 2022

Print Download To Excel Export Data?

PART 1 - EXPENDITURE DESCRIPTION REPORT							
	Expenditure Description	MatchRate	Expenditure	Refunds	NetExpenditures	LocalShare	StateShare
1a	Foster Care - IV-E children in Licensed Residential Congregate Care; pool expenditures for costs not covered by IV-E (i.e., non room-and-board)	0.5996	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1b	Foster Care - all others in Licensed Residential Congregate Care	0.5996	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1c	Residential Congregate Care-CSA Parental Agreements ; DSS Noncustodial Agreements	0.5996	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1d	Non-Mandated Services/Residential/Congregate	0.5996	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1e	Educational Services - Congregate Care	0.4797	\$4,407.24	\$0.00	\$4,407.24	\$2,114.15	\$2,293.09
2a	Treatment Foster Care - IV-E	0.4797	\$4,251.65	\$0.00	\$4,251.65	\$2,039.52	\$2,212.13
2a1	Treatment Foster Care	0.4797	\$11,770.00	\$0.00	\$11,770.00	\$5,646.07	\$6,123.93
2a2	Treatment Foster Care - CSA Parental Agreements ; DSS Noncustodial Agreements	0.4797	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2b	Specialized Foster Care - IV-E ; Community Based Services	0.4797	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2b1	Specialized Foster Care	0.4797	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2c	Family Foster Care - IV-E ; Community Based Services	0.2399	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2d	Family Foster Care Maintenance only	0.4797	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

2e	Family Foster Care - Children receiving maintenance and basic activities payments; independent living Stipend/Arrangements	0.4797	\$992.00	\$0.00	\$992.00	\$475.86	\$516.14
2f	Community - Based Services	0.2399	\$8,661.00	\$1,006.45	\$7,654.55	\$1,836.33	\$5,818.22
2f1	Community Transition Services - Direct Family Services to Transition from Residential to Community	0.2399	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2g	Special Education Private Day Placement	0.4797	\$5,828.34	\$0.00	\$5,828.34	\$2,795.85	\$3,032.49
2h	Wrap-Around Services for Students With Disabilities	0.4797	\$6,507.50	\$0.00	\$6,507.50	\$3,121.65	\$3,385.85
2i	Psychiatric Hospitals/Crisis Stabilization Units	0.4797	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
3	Non-Mandated Services/Community-Based	0.2399	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Total		\$42,417.73	\$1,006.45	\$41,411.28	\$18,029.43	\$23,381.85

PART 2 - EXPENDITURE REFUND DESCRIPTION

Information regarding total expenditure refunds reported in Part 1, Line 4(c).

EXPENDITURE REFUND DESCRIPTION	CODE	AMOUNT
Vendor Refunds and Payment Cancellations	10	\$0.00
Parental Co-Payments	20	\$0.00
Payments made on behalf of the child (SSA, SSI, VA benefits ...)	30	\$0.00
Child Support Collections through DCSE	40	\$1,006.45
Pool prior-reported expenditures re-claimed under IV-E	50	\$0.00
Other (Please specify):	90	\$0.00
TOTAL REFUNDS : Note: This total must agree with the GRAND TOTAL of all expenditure refunds Part 1, Line 4, Col (c).		\$1,006.45

}