CLARKE COUNTY SANITARY AUTHORITY MEETING SPECIAL MEETING OCTOBER 26, 2022 MINUTES

PRESENT:

Mr. Roderick DeArment, Chairman

Mr. A. "Dan" Mackay-Smith, Vice-Chairman

Mr. Tom Bauhan, Treasurer

Mr. C. Wayne Armbrust (Teleconference)

Mr. Bev McKay, Liaison for the Clarke County Board of Supervisors

OTHERS:

Mr. Paren Crawford, Inboden Environmental Services

Mr. Mark Inboden, Inboden Environmental Services

Daniel Boyd, Inboden Environmental Services

Mrs. Mary Meredith, Staff

Brandon Stidham, Planning Director

Jeremy Camp, Planning Department

Max Emma

Ruth Emma

Matt Youngblood, Pennoni Engineering

ABSENT:

Mr. Lee Coffelt

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 11:00am.

II. PUBLIC COMMENT

The meeting was to discuss the ongoing problem with Locke Store. Mr. Crawford confirmed service was disconnected to the property.

Mr. Emma noted that he is working with Pennoni to install a grease interceptor. They are working to find a local company to purchase a grease interceptor from and have it here within two weeks. He noted that they wouldn't have a specific date until it is ordered and paid for.

Mr. DeArment noted there would be some county approval required. Ms. Meredith noted that it would go through planning for approval. Mr. McKay stepped out to see if planning was available to come to the meeting and provide information on turnaround time.

Mr. Emma contacted First Choice Plumbing, and they are available to handle the installation.

Mr. Stidham and Mr. Camp noted this would require a site plan amendment. Discussion continued and Mr. DeArment asked if Locke Store had everything

needed to submit applications. Mr. Emma replied not today, but they could work to have the application in.

Ms. Meredith noted that the most recent grease sample from October 14 is drying still so that testing can occur. Mr. Inboden did feel this would be over the $100 \, \text{mg/L}$.

Mr. Emma would like to discuss Locke Stores steps to be taken for service to be restored. The current grease trap is not performing as promised, and Mr. Emma noted that the manufacturer would be coming by to inspect and assess why it is not performing as promised. He also noted that regardless of the decision today, Locke Store would be installing the grease interceptor. They are also going to move to have the wet well steamed, pumped, and cleaned every Monday and Friday. They will also have a separate service come and pump the wet well on Wednesdays. The board asked Mr. Inboden if this would help mitigate the grease entering the system. Mr. Inboden noted this would not get the material entering the system below the required 100 mg/L limit. Mr. Emma also noted the kitchen operation would change until the installation of the grease interceptor occurs. They will work to mitigate the amount of grease within the system.

Planning noted if an application was submitted tomorrow, it would be roughly a week turnaround time.

Lengthy discussion continued. Mr. Inboden noted the grease interceptor would need to be 1,000 gallon tank. The board asked if Mr. Inboden felt this would be a successful endeavor. Mr. Inboden believed that with the current grease trap, other measures to mitigate grease before washing, as well as installation of the grease interceptor, that this would go a long way to resolve the issue. He did note that during the interim we would still be facing issues of high F.O.G. levels.

Mr. DeArment noted if we permit them to reconnect, they have proposed mitigation efforts, which may result in results not being below 100 mg/L. The board discussed the ramifications of allowing the customer to have service while being over the allowable limit. Mr. DeArment asked if this could be done within the timeline suggested. Mr. Youngblood responded he would recommend thirty days given approval needed. Mr. Armbrust inquired if the board restored service, does that mean the board is waiving fines during that period. Mr. Bauhan replied no, he would not recommend that. He continued that the customer would be preceding at risk. Which would result in another doubling of fines during this three week period.

Ms. Meredith reviewed for the board the three components for restoration of service as outlined in the rules and regulations. Those are; Correction of Deficiency Required. When service to a customer has been terminated for any of the above stated reasons, other than temporary vacancy of the premises, it will be renewed only after the conditions, circumstances, or practices that caused the service to be discontinued are corrected to the satisfaction of the Authority and

upon payment of all charges due and payable by the customer. Option to Require Deposit. The Authority may require a deposit equal to the average bimonthly usage charges prior to restoring service to a customer whose service had been discontinued.

Ms. Meredith reviewed that notwithstanding the fines placed on the 18th, the customer would owe payment of the September bill: \$8,678.30. Ms. Meredith noted it would be the discretion of the board to allow the \$24,000 to be paid by payment plan.

Mr. Bauhan noted the only way he would be in favor of restoring service is if there were structural plans that are in place. That would align with the rules and regulations. This would be grease mitigation steps as well as site plans in place for the installation of the grease interceptor. Mr. Mackay-Smith also requested for a timeline from date of submitted applications through to complete installation of the grease interceptor.

Mr. Bauhan recommended continuing the meeting until there are actionable items to review based on restoring service as outlined in the rules and regulations. The board discussed continuing the meeting to Friday October 28, 2022 at 9:00am.

The board unofficially polled decision on restoring service today. There was an unofficial split in decisions to restore versus not. Given that it is a split in the decision, a motion was made to continue the meeting until Friday October 28, 2022 at 9:00am.

The motion carried as follows:

Mr. DeArment - aye
Mr. Mackay-Smith - aye
Mr. Bauhan - aye
Mr. Armbrust - aye
Mr. Coffelt - absent

III. NEXT MEETING

This meeting was continued until at 9:00am on Friday October 28, 2022. The next scheduled board meeting is November 15, 2022 at 9:00am.

IV. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned.