

Clarke County Planning Commission

AGENDA - Briefing Meeting Tuesday, April 28, 2015 - 3:00PM Berryville/Clarke County Government Center- A/B Meeting Room

- 1. Approval of Briefing Meeting Agenda
- 2. Review Agenda Items for May 1, 2015 Regular Meeting
- 3. Old Business Items
 - a. Discussion, Business Park Uses Text Amendment
- 4. New Business Items
- 5. Other Business
 - a. Berryville Area Plan (BAP) Update
- 6. Adjourn

TO: Planning Commission members

FROM: Brandon Stidham, Planning Director

RE: Discussion, Business Park Uses Text Amendment

DATE: April 23, 2015

Item #3a on your April 28 briefing meeting agenda is a continued discussion of next steps in considering a text amendment to address uses in the Business Park (BP) District. As we reported at the March 31 briefing meeting, Christy Dunkle provided a memo outlining the Town Planning Commission's comments on the proposal to remove certain uses from the BP District (a copy of this memo is enclosed for your reference). Staff recommended that the Commission defer action on this item so that Commissioner Turkel could be present for the discussion. Staff recommends that the Commission discuss and provide direction to Staff how to proceed with this item at the briefing meeting.

If you have any questions or concerns in advance of the meeting, please do not hesitate to contact me.

TOWN OF BERRYVILLE

Department of Planning & Zoning

101 Chalmers Court, Suite A * Berryville, Virginia 22611 [T] 540/955-4081 * [F] 540/955-4524 * [E] planner@berryvilleva.gov

Memo

DATE: March 30, 2015

TO: Brandon

FROM: Christy

RE: Planning Commission comments on Business Park Uses

ENC./1

Brandon:

The Berryville Planning Commission reviewed your memorandum dated March 11, 2015 at their meeting on Tuesday evening concerning the removal of uses in the BP zoning district.

Some Commissioners recognized the potential pollutants that may result from plastic manufacturing but were curious as to why other uses with plastic components (e.g., footwear manufacturing, plastic bottles and plywood) were not. They also asked for additional information on the specific uses that were selected for removal as well as recommendations for replacing those with at least 15 others.

The general consensus was that a comprehensive review of the entire district would be appropriate and, as this zoning is critical to future expansion of the Annexation Area, future economic development opportunities.