Joint Administrative Services Board Regular Meeting

February 27, 2012 Regular Meeting 12:00 pm

As a regular meeting of the Joint Administrative Services Board held on Monday, February 27, 2012 at 12:00 pm in the Meeting Room AB, Berryville Clarke County Joint Government Center, 101 Chalmers Court, 2nd Floor, Berryville, Virginia.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Sharon Keeler, J. Michael Hobert, Michael Murphy, David Ash, Chip Schutte

STAFF PRESENT:

Tom Judge, Amanda Kowalski

1. CALL TO ORDER - Determination of Quorum.

Mr. Hobert called the meeting to order at 12:01 pm.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mr. Schutte moved, seconded by Dr. Murphy, to approve the January 23, 2012, minutes as presented.

The motion carried as follows:

Sharon Keeler - Aye Chip Schutte, Vice Chair - Aye Mike Murphy - Aye

J. Michael Hobert, Chair - Aye

David Ash - Aye

3. HEALTH INSURANCE RENEWAL

Mr. Judge introduced Ms. Leslie Tucker, a representative from Anthem, who reviewed the renewal rates for health insurance for 2012-2013. Ms. Tucker then highlighted the amount of claims processed last year for employees, the amount of employees who went over the \$100,000 claim limit (4), and the administrative fees that Anthem charges to administer the plan.

Mr. Hobert questioned the amount of the Projected Dental Capitation in proportion to the Medical Administrative Charges and Network Access Fees, asking if dental claims are substantially lower than medical claims. Ms. Tucker noted that she is a medical representative from Anthem and does not deal with the dental side, so she would have to do some research into those charges as to why the dental claims are so high.

Mr. Judge questioned the reserve rate, asking if the rate will be increased substantially in the future if there is no reserve left to pool. Ms. Tucker explained that because the county is in a pool of 'local choice' localities, the increased rate is actually very low, at approximately 5% and the county is somewhat protected from high year-over-year rate increases.

Mr. Schutte asked if the total amount of the Drug Capitation, Behavioral Health Capitation, and Dental Capitation is approximately 30% of the total and if that is the norm. Ms. Tucker stated yes.

Mr. Judge asked if the national healthcare reform mandates will be affecting the rates or other situations. Ms. Tucker explained that many of the mandates have been rolled into plans, for example therapy charges, age limits for coverage, etc.

Mr. Judge questioned the need for an audit to determine the validity of the number of dependents. He noted that Anthem does not currently validate the dependents that are claimed. Ms. Tucker explained the audit that the Commonwealth of Virginia recently performed, noting the high costs and administrative heartache that comes along with performing such a large scale of an audit. She noted that Anthem currently does not have any way of checking into dependents that are claimed. Ms. Tucker stated that she currently does see a lot double coverage.

Mr. Judge noted that the deductible amount has not changed from the previous year.

Ms. Tucker stated that the only difference from the previous year is that deductibles are now factored into the out of pocket maximum and that there is now an autism mandate that is included in the insurance programs.

Mr. Judge then reviewed the FY13 Monthly Health Benefit Rates, which he requested that the JAS board adopt for the upcoming year.

Mr. Ash moved, seconded by Dr. Murphy, to approve the FY13 Anthem Monthly Health Benefit Rates. The motion carried as follows:

Sharon Keeler - Aye
Chip Schutte, Vice Chair - Aye
Mike Murphy - Aye
J. Michael Hobert, Chair - Aye
David Ash - Aye

FY 13 Monthly Health Benefit Rates Source: Joint Administrative Services	Effective 7/1/2012					
A. Plan Rates	Cost	Employer	Employee	Employer FY 13 Share	Employer FY 12 Share	
CA 250 Plan Option		•				
Regular Full Time						Employer Annu
Single	500,00					5,127
Dual	925.00					5,593
amily	1,350.00	680.73	669,27	50%	50%	8,169 0
Fransportation, Food Service & Other						• 0
Single	500,00					4,328
Oual	925.00					4,719
amily	1,350.00	574.39	775.61	43%	42%	6,893 0
A 500 Plan Option						0
Regular Full Time						0
ingla	465,00	427.24	37.76	929	6 92%	5,127
bual	860.00			549	54%	5,593
amily	1,256.00	680,73	575.27	54%	54%	8,169
	•					0
ransportation, Food Service & Other lingte	465.00	360,50	104,50	789	6 78%	0 4,326
ongre	860.00					4,719
amily	1,256,00					6,893
,	••					0
LC High Deductible						0
						0
Regular Full Time	382,00	382.00	.00	100%	6 100%	0 4,584
ingle Juai	707.00					5,214
amily	1,031.00					7,593
	••••					0
ransportation, Food Service & Other						0
Single	. 382.00					3,868
Dual	707.00 1,031.00					4,400 6,407
amily	1,001,00	000,0	451,00	327	0 3270	0,407
3. Account Contributions						
Regular Full Time						
LC Health Savings Account Contribution (single)		45.24				543
LC Health Savings Account Contribution (dual)		31,53				378 576
LC Health Savings Account Contribution (family)		47.98)			. 5/6
ransportation, Food Service & Other						
LC Health Savings Account Contribution (single)		38.18	}			458
LC Health Savings Account Contribution (dual)		26.60				319
LC Health Savings Account Contribution (family)		40.48	3			486
C. Total Employer Cost Per Group Health Member						
Regular Full Time					,	
ingle Health		427.24				
Dual Health		466.0				
amily Health		680.73	3			
LC Single Health & "HSA"		427.24	ı			
LC Dual Health & "HSA"		466.05				
"LC Family Health & "HSA"		680.73	3 -			4
Transportation & Food Service						
<u>ransportation & Food Service</u> Single Health		360.50)			
Oual Health		393,24				
amily Health		574.39				
LC Single Health & "HSA"		380.50)			5.40%
"LC Dual Health & "HSA"		393.24			•	
"LC Family Health & "HSA"		574.39				

the employer will pay two times the single employer contribution for the plan option selected.

METHOD:
Force TLC employee single contribution to zero.
Proportion other rates to percentage contributions from prior year.
Force 260 employer contribution to same as 500 contribution.
Force "HSA" contribution so total employer equal across plans.

4. IT GOVERNANCE AND ERP SYSTEM UPDATE

Mr. Judge gave an update to the memorandum of agreement that the Board of Supervisors approved. He noted that the School Board will be voting tonight on the same memorandum to give the JAS Board the governance over the ERP system.

Mr. Hobert questioned that if the School Board approves the memorandum, what steps would Mr. Judge take to communicate to the other governing bodies in the county.

Mr. Judge explained the focus groups that took place within the county, noting the positive of those groups. Mr. Judge then outlined the communication steps between the governing bodies.

Mr. Judge briefed the board the grant that was proposed by Delegate Joe May to implement the ERP system successfully. He noted that Clarke County may have been used as a model to other localities.

Mr. Hobert then reviewed the members on the conversation he had with Delegate Joe May, stating that Delegate May was sorry that the budget was not amended to include the grant, but that he believes in the ERP system and would like to potentially help in the future.

Mr. Schutte re-stated his recommendation that it would be prudent to proceed with the implementation of the ERP system. He recommended that the school system look into funding the ERP from the school renovation surplus. Mr. Schutte also noted that within the next few years the debt service fund will be dropping sharply. Mr. Hobert stated that the position of this board has not changed and that it fully plans on going forward with the ERP system.

5. NEXT MEETING WILL BE MARCH 26 (HEALTH INSURANCE RENEWAL, TECHNOLOGY, AUDIT)

At 12:55 pm, Mr. Hobert adjourned the meeting.

Minutes Recorded and Prepared by: Amanda W. Kowalski